In situ study on the settlement of biofoulers employing wooden test panels

Fany Caduthuz*

Department of MHA, Little Flower Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Angamaly, kerala, India

Article History:

Received on: 10 Jan 2016
 Revised on: 19 Mar 2016
 Accepted on: 25 Mar 2016

Keywords:

Biofoulers,
 wooden test panels,
 organic molecules,
 microorganisms

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to analyze the antifouling properties of different timbers, and thus to identify the wood which shows the most antifouling activity. The chemical component present in that wood which is responsible for its antifouling property can be extracted and used in the manufacture of natural antifouling paints, thus saving the marine environment from the effects of heavy metal antifouling paints. Wood species used in this study were, 1) *Tectona grandis* 2) *Prosopis juliflora* 3) *Strychnos nux-vomica* 4) *Lagerstroemia microcarpa* 5) *Mangifera indica* 6) *Artocarpus hirsutus* 7) *Milicia excelsa* 8) *Swietenia mahagoni* 9) *Anigre* 10) *Terminalia arjuna* 11) *Artocarpus heterophyllus* 12) *Albizia lebbbeck* 13) *Acacia mangium*. Four sets of panels were exposed for a period of 1 month and 20 days. The identification of fouling organisms obtained from wooden panels revealed the presence of 5 species belonging to Barnacles, Tubeworms, Bivalves, Bryozoans, and Hydroids. The study showed promising results, out of the thirteen species of wood used in the study, it was found that *Albizia lebbbeck* and *Lagerstroemia microcarpa* showed the most biofouling resistance. The chemical extracts from these wood can be used in the preparation of environmental friendly antifouling coatings.

*Corresponding Author

Name: Fany Caduthuz

Phone:

ISSN: 2456-818X

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26452/jibps.v1i1.1421>

Production and Hosted by

ScienZTech.org

© 2016 | All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Marine biofouling is the undesired accumulation of organic molecules, microorganisms, plants, animals, and their by-products on an ocean-submerged surface. The biofouling community consists of sessile species that adhere to surfaces of solid substrata. In the marine environment, natural and artificial surfaces, when contact with the marine water, are quickly colonized by microfoulers like bacteria, algae, protozoa and macrofoulers like barnacles, bryozoans and tubeworms [1-3].

The colonization of a substratum in the aquatic realm has been viewed as going through a four step process, viz. Biochemically conditioning the surfaces, bacterial colonization, diatom and protozoan colonization and settlement of invertebrate larvae and algal spores. Biofouling results in an increase in roughness on ship's hulls, which leads to an increase in hydrodynamic drag as the vessel moves through water. Increased fuel consumption, hull cleaning, paint removal and repainting, and associated environmental compliance measures all contribute to the costs of biofouling. Antifouling is the ability of specifically designed coatings to remove or prevent biofouling by any number of organisms on wet surfaces [4-6]. Antifouling paints are formulated with copper, organo tin compounds and other biocides-special chemicals which impede growth of barnacles, algae, and marine organisms. Antifouling paints have a profound effect on the environment [7].

The majority of antifouling paints are pigmented with copper, as cuprous oxide (Cu₂O). The self-

polishing copolymer (SPC) paints give 'polishing' effect as the polymer dissolves away during normal vessel operation, releasing tributyltin (TBT). TBT kills settling fouling organisms and the surface becomes smoother. The SPC system was extremely successful, but TBT was shown to effect non-target organisms, including a number of shellfish, at levels much lower than ever envisaged.

The most sensitive invertebrate species, the dog whelk, *Nucella lapillus*, exhibits imposex (imposition of male sexual characters on the female) and its disappearance from rocky shores in areas of high boating activity has been attributed to the presence of TBT from antifouling paints. Thus, there is intense research activity to seek novel, environmentally benign methods of fouling control. New, effective, and environmentally compatible options are needed to control fouling [8, 9]. The objective of this study is to find an alternative environmental antifouling strategy by conducting *in situ* studies using panels of different timbers. Wood species used in this study are: 1) *Tectona grandis* 2) *Prosopis juliflora* 3) *Strychnos nux-vomica* 4) *Lagerstroemia microcarpa* 5) *Mangifera indica* 6) *Artocarpus hirsutus* 7) *Milicia excelsa* 8) *Swietenia mahagoni* 9) *Anigre* 10) *Terminalia arjuna* 11) *Artocarpus heterophyllus* 12) *Albizia lebbeck* 13) *Acacia mangium*.

EXPERIMENTAL

The experiment was designed as per Wright (1991). This *in situ* study on the settlement of biofoulers employing settlement was carried out for a period of 1 month and 15 days during 2015-2016. This study aims to investigate the natural antifouling properties of 13 different timbers. Trials were carried out at a boat jetty situated in the Ernakulam Channel, adjacent to the Marine Science Campus of Cochin University of Science and Technology in Ernakulam. This is nearly 4.5 km away from the bar mouth. Four replicates each of thirteen varieties of Various Indian tropical wood samples were hanged down at depths of 0.5 meter and one meters in the estuary for two periods of 30 days and 50 days. Wood species used in this study are 1) *Tectona grandis* 2) *Prosopis juliflora* 3) *Strychnos nux-vomica* 4) *Lagerstroemia microcarpa* 5) *Mangifera indica* 6) *Artocarpus hirsutus* 7) *Milicia excelsa* 8) *Swietenia mahagoni* 9) *Anigre* 10) *Terminalia arjuna* 11) *Artocarpus heterophyllus* 12) *Albizia lebbeck* 13) *Acacia mangium*.

The wood materials used were 20x20 cm in dimension. The wooden panels were then hanged down, during 2 December 2015 to 29 January 2016 at the study station. Two sets of short term panels were exposed for a period of 30 days and two sets

of long term panels were exposed for a period of 50 days. The identification of fouling organisms obtained from wooden panels revealed the presence of 5 species belonging to Barnacles, Tubeworms, Bivalves, Bryozoans, and Hydroids. On retrieval after the respective time periods, the panels were analyzed for the number/percentage coverage of fouling organisms. Fouling cover in percentage was expressed by calculating area covered by the foulers versus total surface area of the panel.

Table 1: Hydrographic Studies

S. No.	Parameters	Values
1.	Salinity	23
2.	pH	7

RESULTS

The results of the *in situ* studies on antifouling activity of thirteen species of wood exposed in water of Cochin boat jetty, Ernakulam has been presented. Fouling fauna comprised of barnacles, tubeworms, bivalves and hydroids. The study brings out the antifouling properties exhibited by some of these Indian antifouling timbers. Minimum fouling was recorded on *Albizia lebbeck* and maximum on *Strychnos nux-vomica*. Comparatively low fouling values were recorded on *Lagerstroemia microcarpa*, *Mangifera indica* and *Artocarpus hirsutus*. There are no earlier reports on fouling on timber panels from this station. The Minimum number of barnacles was recorded on *Lagerstroemia microcarpa* and the maximum number was on *Milicia excelsa*. Minimum tubeworm coverage was noticed on *Albizia lebbeck* and *Acacia mangium*. Maximum tubeworm coverage was seen on *Anigre*. *Swietenia mahagoni* and *Tectona grandis* was completely devoid of bivalve coverage. Maximum bivalve coverage was noticed on *Anigre*. *Strychnos nux-vomica* was completely devoid of bryozoan coverage. Maximum bryozoan coverage was noticed on *Anigre*. *Tectona grandis* was partially devoid of hydroid coverage. Maximum hydroid coverage was noticed on *Acacia mangium*. Maximum barnacle attachment was exhibited by the wooden panels immersed at the lower depth of 0.5m. In case of tubeworm attachment, the maximum was exhibited on the wooden panels which were immersed at a deeper depth of 1m.

Hydrographic Studies

Water quality status at the near shore waters remained stable. Temperature, salinity and pH fluctuations in waters of Cochin boat jetty were minimum during the two months of study.

Table 2: Antifouling activity of various timbers on the settlement of larvae of different biofoules

S. No	Name of Timbers	Branches		Tubeworms		Bivalves		Bryozoans		Hydroids	
		Depth 0.5m	1m	Depth 0.5m	1m	Depth 0.5m	1m	Depth 0.5m	1m	Depth 0.5m	1m
1.	Teak (<i>Tectona grandis</i>)	8	3	0	0	0	0	6	3	2	2
		11	9	0	11	1	1	17	10	15	10
2.	Karuvelakam (<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>)	2	1	0	5	4	1	0	10	1	0
		17	7	10	46	9	5	17	27	60	16
3.	Eetti (<i>Strychnos nux-vomica</i>)	3	0	2	0	7	0	2	6	0	0
		47	10	17	77	7	27	52	0	55	8
4.	Ben Teak (<i>Lagerstroemia microcarpa</i>)	4	1	4	10	3	0	0	2	40	0
		7	7	12	22	9	0	18	13	85	15
5.	Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i>)	1	2	1	3	0	0	2	6	5	2
		12	5	11	7	1	2	32	1	80	90
6.	Aanjili (<i>Artocarpus hirsutus</i>)	7	2	0	2	0	0	5	1	12	0
		12	9	4	22	1	3	7	7	88	70
7.	African teak (<i>Milicia excelsaa</i>)	15	3	2	3	0	0	1	0	1	2
		44	14	8	16	4	6	1	17	75	70
8.	Mahagoni (<i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>)	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	10	0
		51	1	1	56	1	0	3	8	70	60
9.	Plywood (<i>Anigre</i>)	2	1	0	56	0	0	4	7	0	0
		26	5	2	84	28	32	13	44	85	50
10.	Marudha (<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>)	2	4	2	10	2	1	0	0	0	0
		23	4	6	47	0	9	8	33	70	90
11.	Jackfruit Tree (<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>)	8	0	5	9	0	3	0	1	25	40
		40	16	27	34	8	5	1	11	65	60
12.	Indian siris (<i>Albizia lebbbeck</i>)	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	0
		23	1	0	1	0	5	4	2	90	50
13.	Mangium (<i>Acacia mangium</i>)	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	7	10	0
		11	17	0	4	6	1	9	0	100	85

Table 3: Attachment of branches on wooden panels

S. No.	Name of timbers	Number of biofoulers attached
1.	Teak (<i>Tectona grandis</i>)	7.75
2.	Karuvelakam (<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>)	6.75
3.	Eetti (<i>Strychnos nux-vomica</i>)	15
4.	Ben Teak (<i>Lagerstroemia microcarpa</i>)	4.75
5.	Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i>)	5
6.	Aanjili (<i>Artocarpus hirsutus</i>)	7.5
7.	African teak (<i>Milicia excelsaa</i>)	19
8.	Mahagoni (<i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>)	13
9.	Plywood (<i>Anigre</i>)	8.5
10.	Marudha (<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>)	8.25
11.	Jackfruit Tree (<i>Artocarpus hetero-phyllus</i>)	16
12.	Indian siris (<i>Albizia lebbeck</i>)	6.25
13.	Mangium (<i>Acacia mangium</i>)	8.25

Table 4: Attachment of Tubeworms on wooden panels

S. No.	Name of timbers	Number of biofoulers attached
1.	Teak (<i>Tectona grandis</i>)	0.25
2.	Karuvelakam (<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>)	4
3.	Eetti (<i>Strychnos nux-vomica</i>)	12
4.	Ben Teak (<i>Lagerstroemia microcarpa</i>)	4
5.	Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i>)	2.5
6.	Aanjili (<i>Artocarpus hirsutus</i>)	1.75
7.	African teak (<i>Milicia excelsaa</i>)	4
8.	Mahagoni (<i>Swietenia mahag- oni</i>)	0.5
9.	Plywood (<i>Anigre</i>)	8.5
10.	Marudha (<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>)	4.5
11.	Jackfruit Tree (<i>Artocarpus hetero- phyllus</i>)	10
12.	Indian siris (<i>Albizia lebbeck</i>)	1.5
13.	Mangium (<i>Acacia mangium</i>)	0.5

The result of in situ studies on the settlement of biofoulers employing wooden test panels are presented in Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, Table 4, Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7.

DISCUSSION

The study on the in situ settlement of biofoulers employing wooden test panels gave promising results. It was found that two wood species out of the thirteen showed resistance against biofouling [10–12].

Through further detailed studies, biochemical assays, the chemical composition of these wood species can be found and the compound responsible for the antifouling action of the wood can be

extracted and used in the preparation of antifouling coatings to be used on ships and other objects immersed in water. And so, their likely impact on the settlement of marine fouling organisms has not yet received much attention. In this regard in our research we made a preliminary attempt to elucidate the possible effect of different species of wood on the settlement of marine fouling organism as a result of which are presented here.

The Minimum number of barnacles was recorded on *Lagerstroemia microcarpa* and is believed to be due to the presence of several classes of phytochemicals like alkaloids, glycosides, saponins, steroids, flavonoids, proteins and carbohydrates [13, 14].

Table 5: Attachment of Bivalves on wooden panels

S. No.	Name of timbers	Number of biofoulers attached
1.	Teak (<i>Tectona grandis</i>)	0.5
2.	Karuvelakam (<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>)	4.75
3.	Eetti (<i>Strychnos nux-vomica</i>)	10.25
4.	Ben Teak (<i>Lagerstroemia microcarpa</i>)	3
5.	Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i>)	0.75
6.	Aanjili (<i>Artocarpus hirsutus</i>)	1
7.	African teak (<i>Milicia excelsaa</i>)	2.5
8.	Mahagoni (<i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>)	6.5
9.	Plywood (<i>Anigre</i>)	15
10.	Marudha (<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>)	3
11.	Jackfruit Tree (<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>)	4
12.	Indian siris (<i>Albizia lebbeck</i>)	1.5
13.	Mangium (<i>Acacia mangium</i>)	1.75

Table 6: Attachment of Bryozoans on wooden panels

S. No.	Name of timbers	Number of biofoulers attached
1.	Teak (<i>Tectona grandis</i>)	9
2.	Karuvelakam (<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>)	13.5
3.	Eetti (<i>Strychnos nux-vomica</i>)	15
4.	Ben Teak (<i>Lagerstroemia microcarpa</i>)	8.25
5.	Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i>)	10.25
6.	Aanjili (<i>Artocarpus hirsutus</i>)	5
7.	African teak (<i>Milicia excelsaa</i>)	4.75
8.	Mahagoni (<i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>)	2.75
9.	Plywood (<i>Anigre</i>)	17
10.	Marudha (<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>)	10.25
11.	Jackfruit Tree (<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>)	3.25
12.	Indian siris (<i>Albizia lebbeck</i>)	1.5
13.	Mangium (<i>Acacia mangium</i>)	4

Minimum tubeworm coverage was noticed on *Albizia lebbeck* might be due to the presence of steroids, Terpenoids, alkaloids, flavonoids, phenols, and saponins [15, 16]. *Swietenia mahagoni* and *Tectona grandis* was nearly devoid of bivalve coverage [17].

Absence of attachment on *Swietenia mahagoni* is believed to be due to the presence of swiete macrophyllain while in case of *Tectona grandis* might be due to the presence of several classes of phytochemicals like alkaloids, glycosides, saponins, steroids, flavonoids, proteins and carbohydrates (Goswami, 2010). *Strychnos nux-vomica* was practically devoid of bryozoan coverage. Absence of Bryozoan coverage on *Strychnos nux-vomica* might be due to the presence of intensely bitter alkaloids strychnine and brucine. Hydroid coverage was expressed in

percent coverage on the wooden panels. *Tectona grandis* was partially devoid of hydroid coverage. Attachment pattern on *Tectona grandis* is believed to be due to the presence of several classes of phytochemicals like alkaloids, glycosides, saponins, steroids, flavonoids, proteins and carbohydrates. It also contains secondary metabolites such as tectoquinone, 5-hydroxylapachol, tectol, betulinic acid, betulinic aldehyde, squalene, lapachol etc. Out of the thirteen species of wood used in this study, *Albizia lebbeck* and *Lagerstroemia microcarpa* exhibited the most antifouling resistance. Maximum barnacle attachment was exhibited by the wooden panels immersed at the lower depth of 0.5m. In case of tubeworm attachment, the maximum was exhibited on the wooden panels which were immersed

Table 7: Attachment of Hydroids on wooden panels

S. No.	Name of timbers	Number of biofoulers attached
1.	Teak (<i>Tectona grandis</i>)	7.25
2.	Karuvelakam (<i>Prosopis juliflora</i>)	19.25
3.	Eetti (<i>Strychnos nux-vomica</i>)	15.75
4.	Ben Teak (<i>Lagerstroemia microcarpa</i>)	35
5.	Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i>)	44.25
6.	Aanjili (<i>Artocarpus hirsutus</i>)	42.5
7.	African teak (<i>Milicia excelsaa</i>)	37
8.	Mahagoni (<i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>)	35
9.	Plywood (<i>Anigre</i>)	33.75
10.	Marudha (<i>Terminalia arjuna</i>)	40
11.	Jackfruit Tree (<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>)	47.5
12.	Indian siris (<i>Albizia lebbeck</i>)	35.5
13.	Mangium (<i>Acacia mangium</i>)	48.75

at a deeper depth of 1m [18, 19]. Conventional antifouling technology involves continuous release of toxicants into marine environment [20–22]. With the day to day increasing environmental awareness there is an increasing tendency to evolve a natural nontoxic means of fouling control. In this regard, research for antifouling compounds from marine organisms has already been initiated all over the world. Antifouling properties exhibited by selected Indian timbers may prove beneficial in this context.

CONCLUSION

In situ study on the settlement of biofoulers employing wooden test panels shows that *Albizia lebbeck* and *Tectona grandis* are the wood species in the

study that shows maximum fouling resistance. The results show that the wood species involved are not very effective against hydroids, but few of them were effective against certain fouling organisms. This throws light on the future prospects of these woods as a potential source for natural antifouling coatings. Minimum attachment of barnacles was recorded on *Lagerstroemia microcarpa*; *Strychnos nux-vomica* was practically devoid of bryozoan coverage; *Swietenia mahagoni* and *Tectona grandis* was nearly devoid of bivalve coverage; Minimum tube-worm coverage was noticed on *Albizia lebbeck* and *Acacia mangium*; and Hydroid coverage in general was the high on all test panels. *Tectona grandis* was partially devoid of hydroid coverage. Maximum barnacle attachment was exhibited by the wooden panels immersed at the lower depth of 0.5m. In case of tubeworm attachment, the maximum was exhibited on the wooden panels which were immersed at a deeper depth of 1m. There has not been much research done in this area. Recently biogenic compounds produced by the microorganisms, especially marine microbes are most promising against of marine fouling. Many marine organisms microalgae and marine invertebrates produced biogenic agents with antibacterial, antifungal, antialgal, antiprotozoan, larvaicidal and molluscicidal properties to defend themselves against settlement in the marine environment. Some of these secondary metabolites possess potent antifouling activity also, but their antifouling activity under laboratory and field conditions are unknown. Through further detailed studies, biochemical assays, the chemical composition of these wood species can be found, thus the chemical compound responsible for the antifouling effect of *Albizia lebbeck* and *Tectona grandis* can be identified. This compound then can be extracted and used in the manufacture of natural antifouling paints, which will not cause threat to the environment.

Funding Support

The authors declare that they have no funding support for this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abarzua S, Jakubowski S, Eckert S, Fuchs. Biotechnological investigation for the prevention of marine biofouling. *Botanica Marina*. 1999;42:459–465.
- [2] Balagurunadhan R, Sundaram TS, Radhakrishnan M, Pazhanimurugan R, Krishnan VG. Nat-

- ural products: Potential and less explored source for antifouling compounds. Journal of chemical and pharmaceutical research. 2015;7(7):1144-1153.
- [3] Burgess JG, Boyd KG, Armstron E, Jiang Z, Yan L, Berggren M, et al. Microbial antagonism, a neglected avenue of natural products research. Journal of Bacteriol. 2003;19:197-205.
- [4] Burns AE, Dannenfelser FJ, Mueller RM. The Future of U. S. Navy Plastic Type Antifouling Paints in Commercial Shipping. Pacific Marine Review. 1946;p. 218-227.
- [5] Callow ME, Callow JA. Marine biofouling: a sticky problem. Biologist. 2002;49(1):4-10.
- [6] Clare AS. Marine natural product antifoulants: Status and potential. Journal of Bioadhesion and Biofilm Research. 1996;9:211-229.
- [7] Elovitch CR, Manner HI. Species Profiles for Pacific Island Agroforestry. 2006;p. 1-74.
- [8] Fischer, Castelli EC, Rodgers VJ, Bleile HR. Technology for control of marine biofouling- a review. Annapolis: Naval Institute Press; 1981. p. 261-261.
- [9] Goswami DV, Patil MJ, Modi A, Tiwari R. Pharmacognostic and Phytochemical Investigation of stem bark of Tectonagrandis Linn. International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences. 2010;1(2).
- [10] Nair NU, Pillai AGGK. Observation on the composition of slime film and its influence on the settlement of carbine marine fouling organisms. Naval Chemical and Metallurgical Laboratory. 1977;p. 284-289.
- [11] Nazma, Ganapathi PM, Bhat KM, Sasidharan N, Gnanaharan R. A Handbook of Kerala timbers. 1981;p. 2-256.
- [12] Nya EJ. Biofilms and Biofouling: cost and effect on drinking water quality for the man development. Journal of Global Biosciences. 2015;4(2):1571-1578.
- [13] Omae M. Chemical Investigations of Its Antifouling Dichloromethane Extract. Journal of natural products. 2003;103:3431-3448.
- [14] Rao KS, Balaji M. Biological Fouling. Marine Bio deterioration. 1988;p. 557-574.
- [15] Sasikumar N, Azis PKA, Al-Tisan I. Biofouling Potential and Environmental Factors of Sea-water at a Desalination Plant Intake. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. 2000;135(1-3):69-82.
- [16] Rajagopal S, Sasikumar N, Nair KVK. Seasonal and vertical distribution of macrofoulants in Kalpakkam coastal waters. Indian Journal of marine Science. 1989;18(4):270-275.
- [17] Schaffelke B, Smith JE, Hewitt CL. Introduced microalgae a growing concern. Journal of Applied Phycology. 2006;18:529-541.
- [18] Seddon SA, Bennox GW. Trees of the Caribbean. 1980;p. 1-74. Macmillan Caribbean Publishing Company.
- [19] Soule DF, Soule JD. Fouling and bio adhesion: Life strategies of bryozoan. Biology of bryozoans. 1977;p. 35-71.
- [20] Seibel AB, Drazen JC. The rate of metabolism in marine animals: environmental constraints, ecological demands and energetic opportunities. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2007;362(1487):2061-2078.
- [21] Trotter H. The Common Commercial Timbers of India and their uses. Journal of Genetics & Plant Breeding. 1929;p. 21-126.
- [22] Wahl M, Jensen PR, Fenical W. Chemical control of bacterial epibiosis on ascidians. Journal of Marine Ecology. 1994;110:45-57.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Cite this article: Fany Caduthuz. In situ study on the settlement of biofoulers employing wooden test panels. J Inv. Bio. Pharm. Sci. 2016; 1(1): 12-18.

ScienZTech

© 2016 ScienZTech.org.